UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/053,477 | 01/15/2002 | Yasumasa Nakajima | MIPFP001 | 3715 | |
| 25920 7590 04/01/2008 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | QUIETT, CARRAMAH J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2622 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/01/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/053,477 | NAKAJIMA ET AL. | |
| Examiner initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Carramah J. Quiett | 2622 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>FIN</u> | AL REJECTION | <u>MAILED</u> |
| (1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner. | (3) | | |
| (2) Peter B. Martine, Applicants' Attorney. | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: March 26, 2008 | Time: <u>2:25 pm</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | | |
| Claims discussed: NONE | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: NONE | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the interview, since | ne substance |
| (A _j | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if a | appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On September 17, 2007, a Final Office Action was mailed to the Applicants' Attorney. On March 25, 2008 (over 6 months later), the Examiner called the Attorney to discuss the status of the present application. On March 26, 2008, The Attorney returned the Examiner's call regarding the status of the present application. The Attorney stated that the Applicants have decided not to file a response to the Final Office Action. Instead, they have filed a continuation (11/985,438). As a result, the present application will receive an abandonment office action.